

Internal Heat Energy, Entropy and The Second Law: A New Approach to Theoretical Thermodynamics

Tang Suye¹

e-mail: tangsuye@hotmail.com

In classical thermodynamics, the absence of an explicit expression of the state function for representing the internal heat energy of the system result in such a issue: Clausius' definition must be restricted to reversible processes. In this paper, we prove that the internal heat energy of the system is a state function, and introduce this state function into the definition of entropy. A new conclusion can be given by a new approach that the additional restriction to reversible processes is not an essential requirement for the definition of entropy.

I. INTRODUCTION

The second law of thermodynamics arose from a curious status: The definition of the concept of entropy did not come from its physical content but from a function of the reversible cycles of heat engines.

Clausius' definition¹ seems to be a measurement external to heat engine cycles, and has to be restricted to reversible processes. It was defined as the quotient of the micro-heat flux δQ divided by its temperature T . Since Q is not a state function, we cannot describe the internal state changes of the system based on δQ . Hence it seems that the definition $\delta Q/T$ should be only the entropy of the heat flux δQ , and also could not explicitly represent the internal state changes of the system. This seems a bit perplexing, and that is why we cannot explain the physical meaning of entropy according to its classical thermodynamic definition.

Many have noticed the very fact that a reversible cycle of a heat engine is not an essential requirement for the definition of the physical concept, and using the non-state variable Q is also not required to build the state function²⁻⁴. Thus basing the definition of the concept of entropy on imaginary reversible cycles does not seem like a perfect foundation in the usual sense, and this has puzzled people for a long time.

In 1909, C.Carathéodory presented a pure mathematical axiomatic approach, an integrating factor method, as an alternative to Clausius' definition. Carathéodory formulated entropy as a solution of the exact differential equation established by the aid of an integrating factor, with an adiabatic process but without recourse to imaginary engine cycles, and tried to describe the second law as axioms based on geometry^{2,5}. The axiomatic thermodynamics was once regarded as one of the most promising alternatives, and caught the attention of well known physicists^{3,6}. Some later studies thought that the axiomatic thermodynamics is hopefully illuminating on these fundamental issues, and it is an active research in a long period⁷⁻⁹.

M.Planck once proposed a thermodynamic treatment between Clausius' and Carathéodory' approaches³. The treatment was also based on the solution of the exact differential equation established with the aid of an integrating factor, and is similar to Carathéodory's approach

that with an adiabatic process but without recourse to imaginary engine cycles and does not need to depend on the non-state variable Q . Planck's approach may be applied to some simple systems. But for a generalized thermodynamic system, Planck's conclusion does not include a general proof for arbitrary systems and arbitrary physical or chemical processes.

In classical thermodynamics, Clausius' definition is an only exception as the definition of the state function both in mathematics and physics. As a fundamental principle, the state function change of the system must be independent of the path taken, so the definition of the state function should be path-independent, whereas, Clausius' definition must attach with the restriction to reversible processes, this shows that the general principles of exact differential have not been perfectly obeyed.

It is the problem puzzling enough, due to the general principles of exact differential do not allow the exception of being restricted to some special (reversible) path for the definition of the state function, and the very fact is that the concept of entropy in irreversible processes can be described by non-equilibrium thermodynamics, which has been discussed and developed in the last century as the classical and the extended non-equilibrium thermodynamics. However, until now, the puzzling problem in classical and non-equilibrium thermodynamics has not been perfectly explained. As the well known fact, the concept of entropy in non-equilibrium thermodynamics does not need to be restricted to reversible processes.

How should we explain the problem both in mathematics and physics, and thereby avoiding the only exception in classical thermodynamics?

Carathéodory and Planck tried to take the total differential of entropy, or the exact differential established by the method of integrating factors as an alternative to Clausius' definition^{2,3}, but caused another issue as that the total differential expression of entropy in classical thermodynamics is expressed as "the difference of functions", which is not the explicit expression of the physical content of the state function because the mathematical form of the function hides the physical picture of entropy, we can not describe the physical content of the function S by "the difference of functions". Therefore that which is unsuitable as the definition of the state function, though the mathematical conclusions of which are correct.

In classical thermodynamics, the absence of an explicit expression for representing the internal heat energy of the system is the flaw. We can describe the heat flux by the non-state variable Q , but lack an explicit expression of the state function for representing the internal heat energy of the system in that which is never defined in thermodynamics. We present a new approach for solving this issue by introducing and proving that the internal heat energy of the system is a state function, the first law of thermodynamics can be expressed as the sum of the exact differentials, and then, we introduce the concept of the internal heat energy into the definition of entropy, it follows that an explicit expression of entropy can be established without additional restriction, which perfectly obey the general principles of exact differential, and can be proven to be an exact differential based on the mathematical theory of exact differential. Thus a new conclusion is given by the new approach, that the additional restriction to reversible processes is not an essential requirement for the definition of entropy.

Such progress will provide a new foundation for describing the physical picture of entropy, the total differential of the second law of thermodynamics, and the basic sources of macroscopic irreversibility.

II. ENTROPY: EXACT DIFFERENTIAL DEFINITION

We now consider a generalized thermodynamic system. The internal energy equation of the system may be given by the first law of thermodynamics¹⁰

$$dU = \delta Q - \delta W + \sum_j \mu_j \delta N_j. \quad (1)$$

For a reversible process, the above equation may be rewritten as¹⁰

$$dU = \delta Q + Ydx + \sum_j \mu_j dN_j. \quad (2)$$

Where U is the internal energy, Q is the heat flux, W is the work, Y is the generalized force, dx is the generalized displacement, μ_j is known as the chemical potential of the type- j particles, and N_j is the number of the type- j particles.

In Eq(2), δQ is the differential of the non-state variable Q , this shows that we lack an explicit expression for representing the internal heat energy of the system, the first law of thermodynamics has not been expressed as the sum of the exact differentials, or the sum of the independent types of the energy. Where Ydx and both $\mu_j dN_j$ are the state changes of the system, δQ is still the heat flux but not an exact differential.

Since the heat flux δQ relates to the distribution at temperature T , and flow only occurs from higher temperature to lower temperature, it means that for the same δQ , if the distributions in temperatures are different, the physical nature of δQ will also be different. Such that, we can use $\delta Q/T$ to describe the difference that δQ and

its distribution in temperature, and then $\delta Q/T$ may be named as the entropy of the heat flux δQ .

$$dS = \frac{\delta Q}{T}. \quad (3)$$

Where dS is only the entropy of the heat flux δQ .

Since δQ is a measurement that is external to the system, then $\delta Q/T$ is also a measurement external to the system. We need to consider when δQ transformed into the internal energy of the system, or the internal energy of the system transformed into the heat flux δQ , how do we describe the state changes of the system.

Consider a reversible transformation, and then we have a simple conclusion¹⁰

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q &= \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T} \right)_{x, N_j} dT + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)_{T, N_j} dx - Ydx \\ &\quad + \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial N_j} \right)_{T, x} dN_j - \sum_j \mu_j dN_j \\ &= dU - Ydx - \sum_j \mu_j dN_j. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The equation includes heat store and heat conversion.

Eq.(4) represents the relation between the external measurement and the state changes of the system in a heat exchange process. The left-hand side of the equation is the measurement external to the system, the right-hand side represents the changes of the system state.

Using Eq.(4) in Eq.(3), it follows that

$$dS = \frac{\delta Q}{T} = \frac{dU}{T} - \frac{Ydx}{T} - \sum_j \frac{\mu_j dN_j}{T}. \quad (5)$$

Where T is the temperature of the system.

By Eq.(5), we obtain the equation that

$$dS = \frac{dU}{T} - \frac{Ydx}{T} - \sum_j \frac{\mu_j dN_j}{T}, \quad (6)$$

Eq.(6) is the total differential of entropy in classical thermodynamics, and is also the well known fundamental equation of classical thermodynamics.

In Eq.(5), with the aid of the reversible conversion processes, the entropy flux $\delta Q/T$ is converted into the state changes dS of the system, so Eq.(6) does not need to be restricted to path due to all variables in Eq.(6) are the state variables, dS is the state changes of the system.

However, this is just a mathematical conclusion, where, we lack an explicit expression for representing the internal heat energy of the system. Since Eq.(6) uses “the difference of functions” to represent the state changes of the entropy, although the mathematical conclusions of the equation are correct, it is unsuitable as the definition of the state function because “the difference of functions” hides the physical content of the state function. Thus we need to seek an explicit expression of the physical content of the function S by going deeply into the matter.

Rewrite Eq.(6) as:

$$dS = \frac{dU}{T} - \frac{Ydx}{T} - \sum_j \frac{\mu_j dN_j}{T} + \frac{pdV}{T}. \quad (7)$$

Where Ydx does not include $-pdV$ different from that in Eq.(6). We partitioned $-pdV$ from Ydx in that p with different sign differs from other generalized force¹⁰, where p is the pressure, and V is the volume.

In Eq.(7), dU is the total differential of the internal energy, Ydx and both the sum of $\mu_j dN_j$ are the two partial differentials of the internal energy. The functional relationship of Eq.(7) shows that Ydx and the sum of $\mu_j dN_j$ make no contribution to the entropy. The difference between dU and the other two is another partial differential of the internal energy. We now need to consider what is “the difference”.

Define the function that

$$dq = dU - Ydx - \sum_j \mu_j dN_j. \quad (8)$$

dq is a partial differential of the internal energy, which is one independent type of the internal energy different from Ydx and $\mu_j dN_j$, where Ydx does not include $-pdV$.

Using Eq.(8) in Eq.(7), we get

$$dS = \frac{dq}{T} + \frac{pdV}{T}. \quad (9)$$

Thus we have transformed the form of the total differential of the entropy from “the difference of functions” into “the sum of the two partial differentials”. We believe that “the sum of the two partial differentials” should be the explicit expression of the physical content of entropy.

We now need to determine the form of the function q . According to the first law of thermodynamics, when x and N_j remain constant, we have from Eq.(1) that

$$dq = \delta Q - \delta W_V. \quad (10)$$

Eq.(10) shows that q exchange energy via two different paths, namely the heat flux δQ and the volume work δW_V . As pressure p and bath temperature T result from heat energy, so that $\delta W_V = pdV_{rev}$ and δQ exchange the same internal energy. δQ is the heat flux; δW_V is the conversion between heat and work (free energy). This is also one of the reasons why Q is not a state variable in Eq.(2), and why we partitioned $-pdV$ from Ydx . We use the function q to represent the internal heat energy of the system.

Consider $U = U(T, V, x, N_j)$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} dU = & \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T} \right)_{V, x, N_j} dT + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_{T, x, N_j} dV \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)_{T, V, N_j} dx + \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial N_j} \right)_{T, V, x} dN_j. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By definition and from Eq.(11), q is the function of the two independent variables T and V

$$dq = \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial T} \right)_V dT + \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right)_T dV. \quad (12)$$

Compare with Eq.(11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} dq = & \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial T} \right)_V dT + \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right)_T dV \\ = & \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T} \right)_{V, x, N_j} dT + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_{T, x, N_j} dV. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Owing to the fact that dU is an exact differential, T and V are the two independent variables, by Eq.(11) and Eq.(13) we have the relation between the two partial derivatives

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T} \right)_{V, x, N_j} \right]_{T, x, N_j} = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_{T, x, N_j} \right]_{V, x, N_j} \quad (14)$$

and therefore that

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial T} \right)_V \right]_T = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right)_T \right]_V. \quad (15)$$

So dq is an exact differential. The first law can be expressed as the sum of the exact differentials that

$$dU = dq + Ydx + \sum_j \mu_j dN_j. \quad (16)$$

The physical meaning of Eq.(16) is that the internal energy U is equal to the sum of the different types of the energy in the system. Where q is one independent type of the internal energy different from the heat flux Q .

In classical thermodynamics, the absence of an explicit expression of the internal heat energy of the system is the flaw of Eq.(2), which caused the issue that the definition of entropy in Clausius' and both axiomatic approaches can not explicitly express the physical content of the state function. If $\delta Q/T$ is considered as the entropy of the heat flux δQ , the definition for the system state, which contains the entropy of the internal heat energy of the system, should be considered at same time.

By Eq.(9) and Eq.(12), S is the function of the two independent variables T and V . According to the mathematical theory of exact differential, if the two partial derivatives of the function S with the relation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right)_V \right]_T = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_T \right]_V. \quad (17)$$

dS will be an exact differential.

We now prove this conclusion.

Using Eq.(12) in Eq.(9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} dS = & \frac{dq}{T} + \frac{p}{T} dV \\ = & \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial T} \right)_V dT + \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right)_T dV + \frac{p}{T} dV. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Thus we have from Eq.(18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right) \right]_V \Big|_T &= \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left[\frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial T} \right) \right] \right\}_T \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial T} \right) \right]_V \Big|_T. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right) \right]_T \Big|_V &= \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left[\frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right) + \left(\frac{p}{T} \right) \right] \right\}_V \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right) \right]_T \Big|_V - \frac{1}{T^2} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right)_T \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T^2} \left[T \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V - p \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Before we compare Eq.(19) with Eq.(20), we need to prove the well known relation anew that

$$\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right)_T = T \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V - p. \quad (21)$$

From Eq.(18) we have

$$dS = \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial T} \right)_V dT + \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right)_T + p \right] dV. \quad (22)$$

It follows that

$$dS = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right)_V dT + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_T dV. \quad (23)$$

dq may be expressed as the follows

$$dq = TdS - pdV. \quad (24)$$

Since p and both V are the two state variables, so $d(pV)$ is an exact differential, by Eq.(24) we have

$$pV = TS - q. \quad (25)$$

$$d(pV) = d(TS) - dq = TdS + SdT - dq. \quad (26)$$

Using Eq.(24) in Eq.(26), we get

$$d(pV) = d(TS) - dq = SdT + pdV. \quad (27)$$

Thus we have from Eq.(27)

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{\partial(pV)}{\partial T} \right) \right]_V \Big|_T = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_T. \quad (28)$$

and

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial(pV)}{\partial V} \right) \right]_T \Big|_V = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V. \quad (29)$$

As $d(pV)$ is an exact differential, so that

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_T = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V. \quad (30)$$

Using Eq.(30) in Eq.(23), we get

$$dS = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right)_V dT + \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V dV. \quad (31)$$

Compare Eq.(31) with Eq.(22), the two partial derivatives with the relation that

$$\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V = \frac{1}{T} \left[\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right)_T + p \right]. \quad (32)$$

So we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right)_T = T \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_V - p. \quad (33)$$

Thus we have proven the relation Eq.(21).

Using Eq.(33) in Eq.(20), we get

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right) \right]_T \Big|_V = \frac{1}{T} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial V} \right) \right]_T \Big|_V. \quad (34)$$

Compare Eq.(19) and Eq.(34) with Eq.(15), we obtain the following conclusion

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right) \right]_V \Big|_T = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right) \right]_T \Big|_V. \quad (35)$$

So dS is an exact differential, S is a state function. The conclusion perfectly obey the general principles of exact differential, and does not need to be specified to imaginary reversible cycles, heat exchange or adiabatic processes.

As the conclusion of Eq.(35), the entropy change dS of the system will be path-independent, for a circle that taken an arbitrary path, we always have

$$\oint dS = 0. \quad (36)$$

In non-equilibrium thermodynamics, the well know fact is that the entropy changes of the system may be considered as the sum of the entropy flux $d_e S$ and both the entropy production $d_i S$ that

$$dS = d_e S + d_i S. \quad (37)$$

In this opinion, $\delta Q/T$ is really the entropy flux $d_e S$. The physical meaning of Clausius' inequality is that

$$\oint d_e S \leq 0. \quad (38)$$

and the second law inequality is actually that

$$\oint (d_e S + d_i S) \geq \oint d_e S. \quad (39)$$

It is easy to perceive that Clausius' definition does not contain $d_i S$, and by Eqs.(37) and (38) we know that $d_e S$ is not an exact differential, due to this reason, Clausius' definition must be restricted to reversible processes. This also means that in Clausius' approach, the physical content of entropy has not been defined for irreversible path.

We now consider the two examples. The first example is the photon gas. Using $q=\alpha T^4 V$, $p=\alpha T^4/3$ in Eq.(18), where α is a constant, and then we get that

$$dq = d(\alpha T^4 V) = 4\alpha T^3 V dT + \alpha T^4 dV. \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} dS &= \frac{1}{T} dq + \frac{p}{T} dV \\ &= \frac{1}{T} 4\alpha T^3 V dT + \frac{1}{T} \alpha T^4 dV + \frac{1}{T} \cdot \frac{\alpha T^4}{3} dV \\ &= 4\alpha T^2 V dT + \frac{4}{3} \alpha T^3 dV. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

And therefore that

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} (4\alpha T^2 V) \right]_T = 4\alpha T^2. \quad (42)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{4}{3} \alpha T^3 \right) \right]_V = 4\alpha T^2. \quad (43)$$

We obtain

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right) \right]_T = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right) \right]_T = 4\alpha T^2. \quad (44)$$

So the entropy of the photon gas is a state function.

The second example is the ideal gas. Using $q=C_V T$ and $pV=nRT$ in Eq.(18). Where C_V is the heat capacity at constant volume, n is the number of moles, and R is the gas constant. We have

$$\begin{aligned} dS &= \frac{C_V}{T} dT + \frac{p}{T} dV \\ &= \frac{C_V}{T} dT + \frac{nR}{V} dV. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \left(\frac{C_V}{T} \right) \right]_T = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{nR}{V} \right) \right]_V = 0. \quad (46)$$

So the entropy of the ideal gas S is a state function.

Since the entropy S and both the heat energy q are the two extensive variables, the pressure p can be expressed as the sum of the partial pressures, a thermodynamic system is usually a mixed system, and the entropy of the system is a homogeneous function of degree 1.

According to Eq.(35), Eq.(9) is the perfect definition of the state function in the usual sense. The fundamental equation of classical thermodynamics is the equivalent equation of the definition of entropy, so Eq.(9) has the same mathematical results as that of Eq.(6).

III. THE SECOND LAW: THE TOTAL DIFFERENTIAL

We shall now discuss the total differential of entropy production and both the second law in this section. The theme of the discussion includes more details about six

fundamental types of the thermodynamic processes, and the three basic sources of irreversibility.

According to Eq.(16), the internal energy of the system can be classified into the two types: the heat energy of the system and the free energy of the system.

Define the function that

$$d\psi = Ydx + \sum_j \mu_j dN_j. \quad (47)$$

Where Ydx does not contain $-pdV$, and ψ is different from Helmholtz free energy F . By Eq.(7) we know that ψ makes no contribution to entropy. ψ name as the free energy in that the entropy of which is equal to zero.

The first law may be written as

$$dU = dq + d\psi = dq + \left(Ydx + \sum_j \mu_j dN_j \right). \quad (48)$$

Thus, for thermodynamic system, the internal energy of the system can be classified into the two types based on their contribution to entropy.

There are two sources of the internal heat energy q , the heat flux $d_e q$ and the internal heat production $d_i q$, come from heat transport or heat conversion.

$$dq = d_e q + d_i q. \quad (49)$$

Similarly, there are two sources of the free energy ψ , the free energy flux $d_e \psi$ and the free energy production $d_i \psi$, come from energy transport or energy conversion.

$$d\psi = d_e \psi + d_i \psi. \quad (50)$$

$$d_e \psi = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)_{T, N_j, V} dx + \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial N_j} \right)_{T, x, V} dN_j. \quad (51)$$

In Eq.(51), for the first term, T, N_j, V are fixed, and for the second term, T, x, V are fixed, so the two both can only come from the free energy flux.

Since U is a conserved quantity, so $d_i q$ and both $d_i \psi$ can only arise from an interconversion between dq and $d\psi$, we have the relationship that

$$d_i q = -d_i \psi. \quad (52)$$

Using Eq.(49) in Eq.(9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} dS &= d_e S + d_i S \\ &= \frac{d_e q}{T} + \frac{d_i q}{T} + \frac{pdV}{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Where $d_e S$ is the entropy flux, and $d_i S$ is the internal entropy production.

An irreversible process usually results from some interactions among different parts of the system, and the entropy production is the sum of the changes in entropy of these different parts in that the entropy fluxes will offset each other, but heat conversion, and both the independent process caused by the pressure p may occur only in

one local. We now consider an interaction between the two locals A and B. Then we have

$$dS_A = \frac{d_e q_A}{T_A} + \frac{d_i q_A}{T_A} + \frac{p_A dV_A}{T_A}. \quad (54)$$

$$dS_B = \frac{d_e q_B}{T_B} + \frac{d_i q_B}{T_B} + \frac{p_B dV_B}{T_B}. \quad (55)$$

The entropy production of the two locals is that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i S &= dS_A + dS_B \\ &= \left(\frac{d_e q_A}{T_A} + \frac{d_e q_B}{T_B} \right) + \left(\frac{d_i q_A}{T_A} + \frac{d_i q_B}{T_B} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{p_A dV_A}{T_A} + \frac{p_B dV_B}{T_B} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Where the heat flux is given by the relation

$$d_e q_A = -d_e q_B = d_e q. \quad (57)$$

So we get

$$\left(\frac{d_e q_A}{T_A} + \frac{d_e q_B}{T_B} \right) = \Delta \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) d_e q. \quad (58)$$

We have from Eq.(50) that the internal free energy production $d_i \psi$ is equal to the difference between the incremental changes in the free energy $d\psi$ and the free energy flux $d_e \psi$. Consider an arbitrary local, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_i \psi &= d\psi - d_e \psi \\ &= \left[Y dx - \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)_{T, N_j, V} dx \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\sum_j \mu_j dN_j - \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial N_j} \right)_{T, x, V} dN_j \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

For $Y dx$, T, N_j, V are not fixed, and for the sum of $\mu_j dN_j$, T, x, V are not fixed, in addition to the changes caused by $d_e \psi$, the two both contain some other changes.

Using the gradient notation to denote the generalized forces, we obtain

$$\Delta Y = \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)_{T, N_j, V} - Y \right]. \quad (60)$$

$$\Delta \mu_j = \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial N_j} \right)_{T, x, V} - \mu_j \right]. \quad (61)$$

Which owing to the fact that these two are the same generalized forces. For an arbitrary local, we get

$$d_i q = -d_i \psi = \Delta Y dx + \sum_j \Delta \mu_j dN_j. \quad (62)$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{d_i q_A}{T_A} + \frac{d_i q_B}{T_B} \right) = \sum_k \frac{1}{T} \Delta Y dx + \sum_k \sum_j \frac{1}{T} \Delta \mu_j dN_j. \quad (63)$$

Where the subscript k denotes different locals, and T is the temperature at which $d_i q$ occurred in the process of converting.

In Eq.(54) and Eq.(55), dV_A is usually not equal to $-dV_B$. Using dV_r to represent the volume change of the reversible volume work between the locals A and B, using dV_a and both dV_b to represent the independent changes caused by the pressures p_A and p_B . We have

$$dV_A = dV_r + dV_a. \quad (64)$$

$$dV_B = -dV_r + dV_b. \quad (65)$$

Using Eq.(64) and Eq.(65) in the third term of Eq.(56), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_A dV_A}{T_A} + \frac{p_B dV_B}{T_B} &= \left(\frac{p_A}{T_A} - \frac{p_B}{T_B} \right) dV_r \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{p_A}{T_A} - 0 \right) dV_a + \left(\frac{p_B}{T_B} - 0 \right) dV_b. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Where dV_r is the volume change caused by the interaction between the locals A and B, dV_a and dV_b are the independent volume changes of the locals A and B.

The above equation may be written as

$$\left(\frac{p_A dV_A}{T_A} + \frac{p_B dV_B}{T_B} \right) = \sum_k \Delta \left(\frac{p}{T} \right) dV. \quad (67)$$

Where the subscript k denotes the process k .

Using Eqs.(58), (63) and (67) in Eq.(56), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_i S &= dS_A + dS_B \\ &= \Delta \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) d_e q + \sum_k \frac{1}{T} \Delta Y dx \\ &\quad + \sum_k \sum_j \frac{1}{T} \Delta \mu_j dN_j + \sum_k \Delta \left(\frac{p}{T} \right) dV. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The subscript k denotes the local k or the process k .

As the flux $d_e q$ may be considered as a micro-quantity dq , and the sum “ k ” of the second, third and fourth terms may be simplified, so Eq.(68) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} d_i S &= dS_A + dS_B \\ &= \Delta \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) dq + \frac{1}{T} \Delta Y dx \\ &\quad + \sum_j \frac{1}{T} \Delta \mu_j dN_j + \Delta \left(\frac{p}{T} \right) dV. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

For a non-equilibrium state, consider the spatial distribution of the gradients, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i S &= \nabla \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) dq + \frac{1}{T} \nabla Y dx \\ &\quad + \sum_j \frac{1}{T} \nabla \mu_j dN_j + \nabla \left(\frac{p}{T} \right) dV. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Thus we obtain the equation of the total differential of the internal entropy production.

We shall now analyze the entropy production in detail, and explicate the fundamental types of irreversible processes. The conclusion will provide a foundation for describing the physical picture of the second law.

By Eq.(56), thermodynamic processes can be divided into six fundamental types, each of the terms of the equation corresponds to two types (*increasing, or decreasing*).

We use Clausius and Kelvin statements^{11,12}, and Fick's laws of diffusion as an alternative to the conclusion about the efficiency of heat engine cycles. Now we discuss the basic sources of the entropy production based on Eq.(69).

(1). The first type of the internal entropy production arises from a micro-quantity dq flowing between different temperatures.

$$dq(T_h) \rightarrow dq(T_c).$$

Since heat can only flow from higher temperature T_h to lower temperature T_c , so we have the entropy production that

$$d_i S = \left(\frac{1}{T_c} - \frac{1}{T_h} \right) dq = \Delta \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) dq \geq 0. \quad (71)$$

Eq.(71) represents the dissipation in heat transport, and corresponds to Clausius statement about the second law of thermodynamics:

No process is possible whose sole result is the transfer of heat from a body of lower temperature to a body of higher temperature.

This is the process, in which, x, N_j, V remain constant, and the internal heat production $d_i q$ is equal to zero.

(2). The second type of the entropy production we consider the free energy loss and the heat production that arise in free energy transportation. Consider an interaction between the two locals A and B, in which, the free energy ψ is transported from locals A to B, and partly be converted into heat.

$$d\psi_A \rightarrow d\psi_B + d_i q.$$

$$-d\psi_A = d\psi_B + d_i q. \quad (72)$$

The total energy of the two locals is conserved, and some parts of the free energy $d\psi_A$ are converted into the heat energy dq in this transport process.

From Eq.(47) we obtain

$$d\psi_A = Y_A dx_A + \sum_j \mu_{jA} dN_{jA}, \quad (73)$$

$$d\psi_B = Y_B dx_B + \sum_j \mu_{jB} dN_{jB}. \quad (74)$$

In the general case, the extensive variables $dx_A \neq -dx_B$ and $dN_{jA} \neq -dN_{jB}$ due to the independent changes exist in the two locals, which need to be distinguished.

Using the subscript r to denote the interaction between the locals A and B, and the subscripts a and b to denote the independent changes of the locals A and B. We have

$$dx_A = -dx_r + dx_a. \quad (75)$$

$$dx_B = dx_r + dx_b. \quad (76)$$

and

$$dN_{jA} = -dN_{jr} + dN_{ja}. \quad (77)$$

$$dN_{jB} = dN_{jr} + dN_{jb}. \quad (78)$$

Using Eqs.(75)-(78) in Eq.(73) and Eq.(74), we get

$$d\psi_A = Y_A(-dx_r + dx_a) + \sum_j \mu_{jA}(-dN_{jr} + dN_{ja}), \quad (79)$$

$$d\psi_B = Y_B(dx_r + dx_b) + \sum_j \mu_{jB}(dN_{jr} + dN_{jb}). \quad (80)$$

Using Eq.(79) and Eq.(80) in Eq.(72), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_i q &= -(d\psi_A + d\psi_B) \\ &= (Y_A - Y_B) dx_r + (0 - Y_A) dx_a + (0 - Y_B) dx_b \\ &\quad + \sum_j (\mu_{jA} - \mu_{jB}) dN_{jr} + \sum_j (0 - \mu_{jA}) dN_{ja} \\ &\quad + \sum_j (0 - \mu_{jB}) dN_{jb} \\ &= \sum_k \Delta Y dx + \sum_k \sum_j \Delta \mu_j dN_j. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Eq.(81) may be simplified as

$$d_i q = \Delta Y dx + \sum_j \Delta \mu_j dN_j. \quad (82)$$

The transport process obeys the law of the conservation of energy, so we have

$$-(d\psi_A + d\psi_B) \geq 0. \quad (83)$$

It follows that $d_i q$ cannot be less than zero in such process. Since the transport efficiency in the free energy transport process is less than 100%, we have

$$d_i q = \Delta Y dx + \sum_j \Delta \mu_j dN_j \geq 0. \quad (84)$$

If the local volumes V_A and both V_B are kept constant, the heat flux $d_e q$ between the two locals is equal to zero. we obtain the entropy production from Eq.(69) that

$$d_i S = \frac{1}{T} \Delta Y dx + \sum_j \frac{1}{T} \Delta \mu_j dN_j \geq 0. \quad (85)$$

Eq.(85) represents the dissipation in free energy transportation, which can also be used to represent the state

changes of one local, and in that case, Y_A and Y_B are the generalized forces of the two states; μ_{jA} and μ_{jB} are the chemical potentials of the two states.

The reverse process, that heat converts into free energy (work), cannot progress without compensation (*see below*), so the process correspond to Kelvin statement about the second law of thermodynamics:

No process is possible in which the sole result is the absorption of heat from a reservoir and its complete conversion into work.

(3). The third type is the independent process caused by the pressure p . Consider an arbitrary local, for which $d_e q$ and $d_i q$ are equal to zero. Since pressure p always points to the normal direction of the system's surface, it means that the changes in volume are always positive in the independent process. So we have

$$d_i S = \left(\frac{p}{T} - 0\right) dV = \Delta\left(\frac{p}{T}\right) dV \geq 0. \quad (86)$$

Eq.(86) represents the dissipation in the diffusion process caused by the pressure p .

The three processes above are the independent irreversible processes, and are the three basic sources of the entropy production. The second law root in the independent processes that caused by the gradients in temperature $\Delta(1/T)$, generalized force ΔY , chemical force $\Delta\mu_j$ and *diffusion force* $\Delta(p/T)$, root in all of these gradients tend to zero. The reverse processes must attach with compensation, and usually show a mixed behavior.

We now discuss energy conversion that proceed with specific types of compensation, which includes the two different situations: the heat energy q is converted into the free energy ψ via volume work, or the free energy ψ is converted into the heat energy q via volume work.

The two situations can be represented by the following equation that

$$d_i q \rightleftharpoons \delta W_V \rightleftharpoons d_i \psi$$

$$d_i q + p dV = -d_i \psi + p dV. \quad (87)$$

These processes may occur only in one local, so we analyze the entropy production of the process. If the conversion occurred between the two locals A and B, an explicitly distinction could be made by using subscripts.

(4). Consider the first situation, in which, the heat energy q is converted into the free energy (work) ψ via volume work. Since pressure p always points to the normal direction of the system's surface, so in this case, the volume work is a positive value. Assume an arbitrarily local A, the heat energy of the local is converted into the free energy, in this case, $d_i q$ is negative.

$$d_i q \rightarrow p dV_r \rightarrow d_i \psi$$

Where $p dV_r$ is equal to the reversible volume work.

Heat energy cannot be converted directly into free energy but can progress only via the path of doing volume

work $p dV_r$ in that the heat energy q has some quality loss, which can be measured in entropy dq/T . In contrast, the free energy ψ has no quality loss, its entropy is equal to zero. If the heat energy is converted into the free energy, the original quality loss of the heat energy would be remained in another form. From Eq.(53), $d_i q/T \rightleftharpoons p dV_r/T$ establishes a compensation mechanisms.

The conversion process obeys the law of the conservation of energy, so we have

$$-d_i q = p dV_r = d_i \psi = (Y - 0) dx + \sum_j (\mu_j - 0) dN_j. \quad (88)$$

Where the free energy flux $d_e \psi = 0$. Combine Eq.(88) with Eq.(64) and Eq.(66), by Eq.(69), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_i S &= \frac{1}{T} \Delta Y dx + \sum_j \frac{1}{T} \Delta \mu_j dN_j + \Delta\left(\frac{p}{T}\right) dV \\ &= \frac{1}{T} (0 - Y) dx + \sum_j \frac{1}{T} (0 - \mu_j) dN_j \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{p}{T} - 0\right) (dV_r + dV_a) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{T} d_i q + \frac{p}{T} dV_r\right) + \frac{p}{T} dV_a \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Where dV_a is the independent change of the local A caused by an attachment process. By Eq.(86) we know that $p dV_a/T$ will always be a positive value.

dq/T is the entropy of the heat energy dq , when dq is converted into the free energy $d\psi$, it is always accompanied with dq/T to be transformed into $p dV_r/T$, and never disappear. Where we have $d_i q/T \leq 0$, the compensation $p dV_r/T \geq 0$, and the total entropy production $d_i S \geq 0$.

(5). The second situation is that the free energy ψ is converted into the heat energy q via volume work, and includes the special case that some parts of the free energy ψ are converted directly into heat.

$$d_i \psi \rightarrow p dV_r \rightarrow d_i q \quad (d_i \psi \rightarrow d_i q)$$

Since pressure p always points to the normal direction of the system's surface, so in this case, the volume work $p dV_r$ and both dV_r are negative values. For a reversible conversion, the negative reduction of the free energy $d_i \psi$ is equal to the heat production $d_i q$, and equal to the negative volume work $-p dV_r$. If some parts of the free energy are converted directly into heat energy, the heat production $d_i q$ will be larger than the negative volume work $-p dV_r$. Assume an arbitrarily local A, we have

$$d_i q = -d_i \psi \geq (-p dV_r). \quad (90)$$

and

$$-d_i \psi = (0 - Y) dx + \sum_j (0 - \mu_j) dN_j \geq 0. \quad (91)$$

This is the process, in which, the heat flux $d_e q$ is equal

to zero, by Eq.(69) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_i S &= \frac{1}{T} \Delta Y dx + \sum_j \frac{1}{T} \Delta \mu_j dN_j + \Delta \left(\frac{p}{T} \right) dV \\ &= \frac{1}{T} (0 - Y) dx + \sum_j \frac{1}{T} (0 - \mu_j) dN_j + \left(\frac{p}{T} - 0 \right) dV_r \\ &= \frac{1}{T} d_i q + \frac{p}{T} dV_r \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

In Eq.(92), we have $d_i q/T \geq 0$, $p dV_r/T \leq 0$, and the total entropy production $d_i S \geq 0$.

Eq.(89) and Eq.(92) represent the dissipation in the process of heat conversion via volume work.

(6). The sixth type we discuss heat energy transport via volume work. Assuming the heat energy q is transported via volume work from the locals A to B

$$dq_A \rightarrow \delta W_V (p dV_r) \rightarrow dq_B.$$

Using Eq.(64) and Eq.(65) in Eq.(9), we get

$$dS_A = \frac{dq_A}{T_A} + \frac{p_A dV_r}{T_A} + \frac{p_A dV_a}{T_A}. \quad (93)$$

$$dS_B = \frac{dq_B}{T_B} + \frac{p_B (-dV_r)}{T_B} + \frac{p_B dV_b}{T_B}. \quad (94)$$

In this case, we have $p_A \geq p_B$, $dV_r \geq 0$, and $p_B (-dV_r)$ is equal to the reversible work δW_V . According to the first law of thermodynamics, we get that

$$dq_A + p_A dV_r \geq 0. \quad (95)$$

$$dq_B + p_B (-dV_r) = 0. \quad (96)$$

and

$$dq = -dq_A = dq_B. \quad (97)$$

It follows that

$$\frac{dq_A}{T_A} + \frac{p_A dV_r}{T_A} \geq 0. \quad (98)$$

$$\frac{dq_B}{T_B} + \frac{p_B (-dV_r)}{T_B} = 0. \quad (99)$$

Where $dq/T \equiv p dV_r/T$ establishes a compensation mechanisms, the sum of Eq.(98) and Eq.(99)

$$\left(\frac{1}{T_B} - \frac{1}{T_A} \right) dq + \left(\frac{p_A}{T_A} - \frac{p_B}{T_B} \right) dV_r \geq 0. \quad (100)$$

Both dV_a and dV_b in Eq.(93) and Eq.(94) are the independent changes of the locals A and B, which have no relationship with dq , and are the independent free expansions. By Eq.(86), we know that the volume changes of the independent processes caused by the pressures p_A

and p_B are always positive values, therefore that we have the following conclusions

$$p_A dV_a \geq 0. \quad (101)$$

$$p_B dV_b \geq 0. \quad (102)$$

Using Eqs.(93)–(102) in Eq.(69), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_i S &= dS_A + dS_B \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{T_B} - \frac{1}{T_A} \right) dq + \left(\frac{p_A}{T_A} - \frac{p_B}{T_B} \right) dV_r \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{p_A}{T_A} - 0 \right) dV_a + \left(\frac{p_B}{T_B} - 0 \right) dV_b \\ &= \Delta \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) dq + \sum_k \Delta \left(\frac{p}{T} \right) dV \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

Under more general situations, an irreversible process usually shows a mixed behavior of the above processes that we discussed. Combine Eqs.(71), (85), (86), (89), (92) and (103), and simplify the sum “ k ”, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_i S &= \Delta \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) dq + \frac{1}{T} \Delta Y dx \\ &\quad + \sum_j \frac{1}{T} \Delta \mu_j dN_j + \Delta \left(\frac{p}{T} \right) dV \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

There are three basic sources of the total entropy production $d_i S$: (1). Heat flux only occurs from higher temperature to lower temperature; (2). Free energy is converted into heat energy without compensation; (3). The independent process caused by the pressure. The total entropy production always increases monotonically, but the partial differential of which can be less than zero, the second law itself contains the building mechanisms.

For a non-equilibrium state, consider the spatial distribution of the gradients, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i S &= \nabla \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) dq + \frac{1}{T} \nabla Y dx \\ &\quad + \sum_j \frac{1}{T} \nabla \mu_j dN_j + \nabla \left(\frac{p}{T} \right) dV \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Eq.(105) is the total differential expression of the second law of thermodynamics. The inequality also gives the statement about the second law: All of the gradients of the thermodynamic forces spontaneously tend to zero.

IV. DISCUSSION: A NEW FOUNDATION

Using Eq.(49) in Eq.(9), it follows that

$$dS = \frac{d_e q}{T} + \frac{d_i q}{T} + \frac{p dV}{T}. \quad (106)$$

In a reversible exchange process, we will obtain Clausius' definition in that $d_i q$ is equal to $-p dV$. But for

an irreversible process, $d_i q$ is usually not equal to $-pdV$, and this is the reason why Clausius' definition must be restricted to reversible processes.

Compare the different approaches that appear below.

$$dS = \frac{\delta Q}{T}, \quad (107)$$

$\downarrow \leftarrow \text{reversible conversion}$

$$dS = \frac{dU}{T} - \frac{Ydx}{T} - \sum_j \frac{\mu_j dN_j}{T}, \quad (108)$$

$\downarrow \leftarrow Ydx \text{ does not include } -pdV$

$$dS = \frac{dU}{T} - \frac{Ydx}{T} - \sum_j \frac{\mu_j dN_j}{T} + \frac{pdV}{T}, \quad (109)$$

$\downarrow \leftarrow dq = dU - Ydx - \sum_j \mu_j dN_j$

$$dS = \frac{dq}{T} + \frac{pdV}{T}, \quad (110)$$

$\downarrow \leftarrow \text{the exact differential of entropy}$

Clausius' approach takes Eq.(107) as the definition of entropy, which must be restricted to reversible path, and is the only exception both in mathematics and physics as the definition of the state function.

Carathéodory' and Planck's approaches tried to take Eq.(108) as the definition of entropy, since the equation is expressed as "the difference of functions", which hides the physical content of the state function.

In the two above approaches, all the issues root in the absence of the explicit expression of the internal heat energy of the system, by introducing the concept of the internal heat energy, we get a new approach.

We take Eq.(110) as the definition of entropy, and then avoid the flaws in the two above approaches. We can see that Eqs.(108), (109), (110) are the different forms of one equation, and Eq.(108) is the total differential of entropy in classical thermodynamics, so that Eq.(110) does not change the mathematical results of classical and both non-equilibrium thermodynamics because $\delta Q/T$ is considered as the entropy of δQ , and Eq.(108) is considered as the total differential of entropy, we have not changed these two basic conclusions of current theories in the new approach except for the definition of entropy.

In Eqs.(8), (10), (12) and Eq.(47), we introduce the two concepts: the internal heat energy q of the system and the free energy ψ of the system, and then, in Eq.(48), we classify the internal energy of the system into the two types according to their contribution to entropy. In section 3, we distinguish the entropy production and both irreversibility into the three sources. These new results may help us to make more accurate for understanding thermodynamics. For example, compare the free energy ψ with Helmholtz free energy F , we can obtain a new explanation on F : Helmholtz free energy F contains the free energy ψ and $-pV$, the latter is "the free energy" that can be obtained from heat conversion.

We will continue to see some new progress in further

work: the thermodynamic meaning of entropy may be explained according to its thermodynamic definition, this may change the fact that classical thermodynamics itself cannot provide perfect explanations for the physical meaning of entropy and the second law. We will see that the new approach may provide a perfect foundation that relates to a series of important themes.

Irreversibility is at once a profound and an elusive concept. We are all aware of the directed, irreversible arrow of time which dominates our own existence. Until recently, however, it had been thought that the fundamental dynamical laws were incompatible with the objective existence of the irreversible processes that thermodynamics purports to describe¹³. The whys and wherefores are at least in part related to the definition of entropy, an explicit expression of the physical content of entropy is indispensable for describing the macro physical picture of the second law, and the sources of irreversibility.

These progresses may help us to unfold another main line of theoretical physics. The theoretical construct of dynamics was built on one main line — the basis of the law of conservation of energy — but does not include the theme about dissipation, this is in keeping with the first law of thermodynamics in the macro field but does not include the theoretical construct of the second law. On the new foundation, we might be able to find a new conclusion that is similar to the relationships between chemical dynamics and chemical thermodynamics, and meanwhile, we tend to regard the second law as the law of building, and the entropy production as the spending of the building process¹⁴⁻¹⁶.

Such an attempt may be the beginning of a new study. Perhaps, the fundamental structure of theoretical physics might be divided into the two main lines according to different laws^{15,16}, one being the first law physics, the other one being the second law physics¹⁶. We can do or not, that is the problem.

¹R. Clausius *Ann. Phys. Chem.* **125** 353 (1865)

²C. Carathéodory *Math. Ann.* **67** 355 (1909)

³M. Planck *Phys. Math.* K1 **53** 453 (1926)

⁴Wang Zhuxi *Thermodynamics*. 3rd edn (Beijing: Higher Education Press 1983) p136-150

⁵C. Carathéodory *Phys. Math.* K1 39 (1925)

⁶M. Born *Physik Z* **22** 218 (1921)

⁷J. B. Boyling *Math. Phys.* **10** 52 (1968)

⁸J. B. Boyling *Proc. Roy. Soc. London. A* **329** 35 (1972)

⁹E. H. Lieb, J. Yngvason *Phys Rep.* **310** 1 (1999)

¹⁰L. E. Reilch *A Modern Course in Statistical Physics* (University of Texas 1980)

¹¹R. Clausius *Ann. Phys. Chem.* **79** 368 (1850)

¹²W. Thomson *Phil. Mag.* **4** 304 (1852)

¹³P. V. Coveney *Nature*. **333** 409 (1988)

¹⁴I. Prigogine *Structure, Dissipation and Life*. (Theoretical Physics and Biology, Versailles, 1967). (North-Holland Publ. Company, Amsterdam 1969)

¹⁵I. Prigogine *From Being to Becoming* (New York: W.H. Freeman 1980)

¹⁶Tang Suye *Tackling a Century Mystery: Entropy*. 2nd edn (Hefei: University of Science & Technology of China 2008)